\*\*\*Granted\*\*\* See eSignature Page Michael K. Jeanes, Clerk of Court \*\* Electronically Filed \* Jeannette Thornton Filing ID 1453482 9/18/2012 3:00:06 PM

1 THOMAS C. HORNE Attorney General Firm Bar No. 14000 CHERIE L. HOWE 3 **Assistant Attorney General** State Bar No. 013878 1275 W. Washington Street 4 Phoenix, Arizona 85007-2997 Telephone: (602) 542-7725 5 Fax: (602) 542-4377 6 Consumer@azag.gov Attorneys for the State of Arizona 7

## IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MARICOPA

STATE OF ARIZONA, ex rel. THOMAS C. HORNE, Attorney General,

Case No: CV2011-021514

11 Plaintiff.

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

-VS-

STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT

LANCASTER ARMS, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company, and CHESTER G. DURDA and MARSHA DURDA, husband

and wife.

Defendants.

(Assigned to Hon. Hugh Hegyi)

The State of Arizona, having filed a complaint alleging violations of the Arizona Consumer Fraud Act, Arizona Revised Statutes ("A.R.S.") § 44-1521, et seq., and the Defendants Lancaster Arms, LLC and Chester and Marsha Durda having been fully advised of the right to a trial in this matter and having waived the same, admit that this Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter and the parties for purposes of entry of this Consent Judgment and acknowledge that this Court retains jurisdiction for the purpose of enforcing this Consent Judgment.

The Defendants have agreed to a voluntary compromise of disputed claims, and the State of Arizona and the Defendants have agreed on a basis for the settlement of these matters in

2 3 4

5 6

7

8 9

11

12

10

13 14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

26

25

dispute.

The Defendants deny the State's claims in its complaint that they violated the Arizona Consumer Fraud Act. This Consent Judgment does not constitute an admission by the Defendants for any purpose of any violation of any state law, rule or regulation nor does this Consent Judgment constitute evidence of any liability of the Defendants. This Consent Judgment is made without trial or adjudication of any issues of fact or law or finding of liability of any kind.

## **PARTIES**

- 1. The Plaintiff is the State of Arizona, ex rel. Thomas C. Horne, Attorney General, who is authorized to bring this action under the Arizona Consumer Fraud Act, A.R.S. § 44-1521, et seq.
- 2. Defendant Lancaster Arms, LLC ("Lancaster Arms") is an Arizona limited liability company that manufactures and sells guns and rifles to consumers, as well as parts and accessories for such weapons, assembles weapons kits, and provides warranty work on the products it manufactures.
- 3. Defendants Chester G. Durda and Marsha Durda, husband and wife, are the owners of Lancaster Arms and Chester Durda directs its operations and activities.

## **ORDER**

- 1. The terms of this Order apply to Lancaster Arms, LLC and any person acting in concert with or on behalf of it, as well as to the individual Defendants Chester Durda and Marsha Durda.
- 2. The Defendants shall comply with the Arizona Consumer Fraud Act, A.R.S. § 44-1521 et seq., as it is currently written, and as it may be amended.
- 3. The Defendants shall, jointly and severally, pay the Attorney General's Office the sum of sixty-seven thousand dollars (\$67,000) as consumer restitution to eligible consumers. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, "eligible consumers" means consumers

who filed a complaint with the Office of the Arizona Attorney General on or before the date this Consent Judgment is submitted to the Court for its approval. In the event the amount ordered as restitution herein is not sufficient to fully restore eligible consumers the amounts they paid for products or services sold to them by Lancaster Arms, the amount shall be distributed to them on a pro rata basis. In the event that any portion of the restitution ordered herein cannot be distributed to eligible consumers, or exceeds the amount of restitution, such portion shall be deposited by the Attorney General into the consumer protection—consumer fraud revolving fund in accordance with A.R.S. § 44-1531.01 and used for the purposes specified therein.

- 4. Without admitting any liability, the Defendants shall, jointly and severally, pay the Attorney General's Office three thousand dollars (\$3,000) as investigative costs and attorneys fees pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-1534, and said amount shall be deposited by the Attorney General into the consumer protection—consumer fraud revolving fund in accordance with A.R.S. § 44-1531.01 and used for the purposes specified therein.
- 5. The payments ordered herein shall be made payable to the Office of the Arizona Attorney General according to the following schedule:

Within one hundred and eighty (180) days from the date this Consent Judgment is approved by the Court, an initial payment of five thousand dollars (\$5,000), with subsequent payments every thirty (30) days thereafter of two thousand dollars until the full amount ordered herein is paid.

The amounts received by the Office of the Attorney General pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be deposited into an interest bearing trust account and shall subsequently be distributed by the Attorney General's Office first as restitution and then as costs and fees.

6. In the event of a default of any payment obligation imposed by this Consent Judgment, and in addition to any other relief or remedy elected or pursued by the State, all

payments set forth herein shall be accelerated and shall become due and owing in their entirety as of the date of the default, with interest accruing at the rate of ten percent (10%) per annum for the full amount owing as of that date.

- 7. The Defendants shall not represent or imply that the Attorney General, the State of Arizona, or any agency thereof has approved any of their actions in Arizona or has approved any of their past, present or future business practices in Arizona, and the Defendants are enjoined from directly or indirectly representing anything to the contrary.
- 8. This Court retains jurisdiction of this matter for the purposes of entertaining an application by Plaintiff, State of Arizona, for the enforcement of this judgment.
- 9. This Consent Judgment may be modified or vacated by order of this Court. After providing at least thirty (30) days written notice and after making a good faith effort to obtain concurrence of the other parties for the requested order to modify or vacate, which concurrence shall not be unreasonably withheld, the party seeking an order to modify or vacate may petition this Court therefore. The Court will modify or vacate this Consent Judgment upon a showing of good cause.
- 10. Before initiating any proceeding to enforce this Consent Judgment, the Attorney General shall provide at least thirty (30) days written notice to the Defendants to provide them a reasonable opportunity to cure any alleged violation. Whenever possible, the parties shall seek to resolve an alleged violation of this Consent Judgment by discussion. In addition, in determining whether to enforce this Consent Judgment or to seek an order for monetary, civil contempt, or any other relief or sanction, the Attorney General shall give good faith consideration to whether the Defendants have taken corrective action designed to cause the claimed violation to be cured and to prevent future occurrences.
- 11. The State acknowledges by its execution hereof that this Consent Judgment constitutes a complete settlement of its allegations against the Defendants and it agrees that it shall not institute any additional civil action against any of them based on their alleged

violations of the Arizona Consumer Fraud Act, as described in the State's Complaint. 12. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the State may institute an action or proceeding to enforce the terms and provisions of this Consent Judgment or to take action based on future conduct by any of the Defendants. 13. This Consent Judgment is entered as a result of a compromise and a settlement agreement between the parties. Only the parties to this action may seek enforcement of this Consent Judgment. Nothing herein is intended to create a private right of action by other parties. 14. This Consent Judgment shall not limit the rights of any private party to pursue any remedies allowed by law. DATED this \_\_\_\_\_\_day of \_\_\_\_\_\_, 2012 Judge of the Superior Court 

## CONSENT TO JUDGMENT

- 1. The Defendants state that no promise of any kind or nature whatsoever was made to them to induce any of them to enter into this Consent Judgment and that they have entered into the Consent Judgment voluntarily.
- 2. The Defendants have fully read and understood this Consent Judgment, understand the legal consequences involved in signing it, assert that this is the entire agreement of the parties, and that there are no other representations or agreements not stated in writing herein, and no force, threats, or coercion of any kind have been used to obtain their signatures.
- 3. The Defendants acknowledge that Plaintiff's, State of Arizona's, acceptance of this Consent Judgment is solely for the purpose of settling this litigation and does not preclude the Plaintiff, or any other agency or officer of this State, or subdivision thereof, from instituting other civil or criminal proceedings as may be appropriate now or in the future.
- 4. Lancaster Arms, LLC represents and warrants that the person signing below on its behalf is duly appointed and authorized to do so.

day of Systenba, 2012 17 Lancaster Arms, LLC APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONTENT: 18 Thomas C. Horne By: **Attorney General** 19 Chester Durda, Manager 20 By: Cherie L. Howe Chester Durda Assistant Attorney General Counsel for Plaintiff

#2861479

Marsha Durda

1 2

> 3 4

5 6 7

8 9

10 11

12 13

14

15 16

21

22

23

24

25

26

Granted

Signed on this day, September 18, 2012



/S/ Randall Warner Judical Officer of Superior Court